

Strategic Contestation and Regional Realignment: Israel–Iran Dynamics in the Emerging Global Order

¹Sandip Kumar Singh¹, Daniel Gidey², Kunwar Siddarth Dadhwala³

¹Centre for International Politics, Organisation and Disarmament (CIPOD), School of International Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

²Faculty of Liberal Arts and Ancient Indian Wisdom, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Himachal Pradesh, Solan

ABSTRACT

This paper analyses the strategic rivalry between Israel and Iran and how it has reshaped the Middle East's global order. The paper discusses how this protracted conflict reshapes regional security paradigms and world power dynamics by following their development as a regional rival and studying current geopolitical patterns. The research examines how Iran's backing of proxy militias and ideological gains in going for regional hegemony jeopardise Israel's national security directly through qualitative analysis of newspaper reports, policy reports, and scholarly work. Israel retaliates by resisting Iranian influence on battlefields through the application of its military power, intelligence apparatus, and foreign alliances. The strategic competition between these two Middle Eastern nations is shaping greater diplomatic, geopolitical, and security issues in the Middle East region and indeed globally, fueling tension and conflict cycles. While they are inherently competitive, the study argues that mutual dialogue, global mediation, and confidence-building measures can provide a choice of de-escalation and longer-term regional stability. Finally, a critical perspective for examining the dynamics of global change and regional conflict in the twenty-first century is the Israel-Iran relationship.

KEYWORDS: Strategic Rivalry, Israel-Iran Relations, Middle East Geopolitics, Regional Security, Global Power Dynamics

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the last century, Israel-Iran relations have experienced significant shifts, responding both to domestic political turmoil in the two countries and the changing geopolitical landscape of the world. Historically, Israel and Iran had a discreet but beneficial relationship before the Iranian Revolution of 1979, motivated by shared strategic objectives and economic cooperation (Gazit 2015). However, after the revolution, this dynamic drastically changed as Iran took a firmly anti-Israel posture, which profoundly changed the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East (Parsi 2007a). With their interactions characterized by ideological

Address for correspondence : Sandip Kumar Singh, Centre for International Politics, Organisation and Disarmament (CIPOD), School of International Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

E-mail : sandipksingh@jnu.ac.in

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8148-4892>

© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

For reprints contact: voiceforvoiceless2013@gmail.com

Received	Reviewed	Accepted	Published
21-Jan.-2025	29-Mar.-2025	22-May.-2025	01-June-2025

Volume	Issue	June	ISSN
No. 7	No. 1	2025	2583-1852(P), 2584-0878(O)

How to Cite this Article: Singh, Sandip Kumar; Gidey, D; Dadhwala, K.S. Strategic Contestation and Regional Realignment: Israel–Iran Dynamics in the Emerging Global Order. THE THIRD VOICE REALITY AND VISION. 2025. Vol No-7. Issue No-1. June. Pp: 14-26. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17403248>

ACCESS THIS ARTICLE ONLINE

Quick Response Code:



Available online at :
thirdvoice.voiceforvoiceless.in

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17403248>
 Article No - TVRV00083

hostility, military tensions, and competing regional influences, Israel and Iran have become significant regional actors in the decades that have followed. The expansion of nuclear weapons, proxy conflicts, and advancements in cyberwarfare technology are some of the main issues that drive their rivalry. According to (David 2019), Israel, which sees Iran as an existential threat if it were to acquire nuclear weapons, has been extremely vocal in its opposition to Iran's highly disputed pursuit of nuclear capabilities. As a result of both countries' support for rival groups in different Middle Eastern crises, the proxy battles worsen relations. According to (Kraus 2010), Iran provides significant support to organizations like Hezbollah and Hamas, while Israel uses force to fend off these influences and protect its borders. According to (Analytica 2022), this complex rivalry has spilled over into the field of cyberwarfare, where both nations have advanced their capabilities and produced a slew of high-profile cyber drama. Iran's geopolitical policies and economic stability have been impacted by the imposition of sanctions, whereas Israel's strong economic and technological sectors support its status regionally and globally (Bahgat 2011). The involvement of major international powers such as the US, Russia, China, and the EU significantly impacts whether diplomatic attempts to defuse these tensions are successful (Qadri 2024). The Israel-Iran relationship holds significant importance in understanding contemporary geopolitical dynamics, particularly in the context of the Middle East. As tensions continue escalating, this rivalry's implications extend beyond regional borders, affecting global security, economic stability, and international diplomatic efforts. The ongoing conflict has prompted a reevaluation of alliances and military strategies among global powers, thereby reshaping the geopolitical landscape (Khan, Ahmad, and Alam 2023; Furlan 2022a). Iran's nuclear ambitions serve as a primary concern not only for Israel but for many nations worldwide. The potential for a nuclear-armed Iran raises existential questions for Israel, which perceives this development as a direct threat to its national security (Kumar 2024a; Freilich 2023). Israel's defensive strategy and numerous preemptive measures have therefore become the centre of global debate on military actions and diplomatic talks. The nuclear proliferation dilemma and fears over a regional race to arms compel the need to look at how Israel and Iran have a fine line between their relationship (Bukhari, Khan, and Haq 2024). Rivalry has also created a realignment of regional blocs, with countries revisiting their regional security

interests under the increased Iranian influence. For instance, various Arab nations have attempted to improve relations with Israel as a balancing act against the Iranian influence. This shifting regional realpolitik complicates the old narratives and diplomatic equations, so it is only necessary to examine the meaning of Israel-Iran relations in terms of regional stability (Mabon 2023). Recent events, including military engagements and cyber warfare, highlight the critical need for comprehensive research on the Israel-Iran dynamic. Each incident not only escalates hostilities between the two nations but also amplifies the risks of wider regional conflict, which could have cascading effects on international markets and security frameworks. *Cracking the Enigma: Iran-Israel Relations Unveiled a Provocative Exploration into Global Geopolitics* (Bukhari, Khan, and Haq 2024). By studying these dynamics, policymakers and scholars can better understand the mechanisms at play and work towards developing nuanced strategies that promote dialogue and de-escalation (Analytica 2024a). Based by shared strategic goals and practical factors, Israel and Iran enjoyed a reasonably cooperative relationship before the Iranian Uprising of 1979. Both countries saw each other as important friends in the 1950s and 60s in a region largely hostile to Israel and cautious of Soviet dominance (Melman & Raviv, 1990). During this time, military and economic collaboration was established, resulting in cooperative farming initiatives, arms agreements, and information exchange (Gazit 2015). Iran's Shah, Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, modernized and secularized the country in a way that suited Israel's goal of forming non-Arab coalitions in the Middle East. Because Iran views overt partnerships as politically problematic, this cooperation was frequently carried out in secret because of the larger Arab Israeli conflict (Parsi 2007b). However, the 1979 Islamic Revolution dramatically altered the bilateral relationship. The overthrow of the Shah and the rise of the Islamic Republic, led by Ayatollah Khomeini, introduced a new ideological dimension to Iran's foreign policy. The revolutionary regime adopted a vehemently anti-Israel stance, denouncing the Jewish state as an illegitimate entity and expressing support for Palestinian liberation movements (Haddad and Qasim 2023). This ideological shift began a hostile and adversarial relationship that continues to shape Middle Eastern geopolitics. The two-way relationship was significantly changed by the Islamic Revolution in 1979, though. Iran's foreign policy took on a new ideological component with the toppling of the Shah and the establishment of the Islamic Republic

under Ayatollah Khomeini's leadership. With a strong anti-Israel stance, the revolutionary leadership supported Palestinian liberation forces and declared the Jewish state to be an illegitimate entity (Aharon 2024). A confrontational and antagonistic relationship that still shapes Middle Eastern geopolitics began with this ideological change.

KEY EVENTS SHAPING THE CURRENT GEOPOLITICAL DYNAMICS

Despite the revolutionary rhetoric, there was a short convergence of interests between Israel and Iran in the early phases of the Iran-Iraq War. The strategic goal of undermining Iraq, a shared enemy, is purportedly the reason Israel allegedly gave Iran restricted weaponry shipments (Pollack 2005). One key component of Iran's anti-Israel agenda has been its support for the militant Shiite organization Hezbollah, based in Lebanon. As a major Iranian proxy in the region, Hezbollah has fought Israel in multiple wars, among which was the 2006 Lebanon War (González Mendelejis 2020). As part of the Oslo Accords, which represented the Israeli-Palestinian reconciliation process in the 1990s, Iran grew more hostile toward Israel by supporting organizations that opposed the peace attempts, such as Islamic Jihad and Hamas (Wertman 2021). The development of Iran's nuclear program has been a major cause of friction in relations with Israel. Israel has argued for worldwide sanctions alongside military action to stop Iran's nuclear development because it views Iran's nuclear objectives as an existential danger (Hussain* 2020). Israel-Iran ties have become more complex due to the Syrian conflict since Iran has established a presence close to Israel's borders and has given the Assad regime major military backing. Israel has responded to this by carrying out multiple airstrikes against Iranian sites in Syria (Lister 2016). A set of reconciliation agreements between Israel and several Arab states, known as the Abraham Accords, have altered regional alignments and increased Iran's sense of encirclement. These agreements mark a dramatic reorientation of Middle Eastern geopolitics, possibly further isolating Iran (Faheema 2023). To understand the geopolitical dynamics of the Middle East today and in the future, one must have a thorough understanding of the historical background and significant events that have influenced Israel-Iran ties. These incidents highlight the intricate interactions between strategy, ideology, and local power struggles that shape this crucial bilateral relationship. A major geopolitical concern in the Middle East has been Iran's nuclear program, which has raised serious questions about both regional and international security. Iran's

nuclear program aims to produce electricity and conduct medical research. However, because of concerns over the program's possible military applications, there has been intense international scrutiny and political pressure to halt its advancement (Einhorn and Nephew, 2016). Under the Atoms for Peace program, Iran started working on nuclear weapons in the 1950s with US assistance. However, the Islamic Revolution of 1979 signaled a change, and the following decades saw varying degrees of growth and global participation. Early in the new millennium, there were indications that Iran was making major strides toward uranium enrichment, a dual-use technology with military and civilian applications (Pashayi and Kodaman 2023). The international community, led by the US and the EU, responded to these events by enacting several economic penalties designed to put pressure on Iran to stop its enrichment activities. After much work, Iran and the P5+1 (China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, the United States, and Germany) reached an agreement in 2015 known as the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), which aimed to restrict Iran's nuclear capabilities in exchange for the lifting of sanctions (Kaya and ^aartepe 2015). Iran's nuclear program was subject to severe restrictions under the JCPOA, which included lowering its number of centrifuges while minimizing its reserves of enriched uranium. With President Donald Trump's unilateral withdrawal from the JCPOA in 2018, tensions reappeared despite the pact, prompting the introduction of new sanctions and a rise in Iranian nuclear activity. Mahasneh and Al-Mashaqbeh(2023) noted that Iran has escalated regional and global worries by building sophisticated centrifuges and boosting its nuclear power production levels to surpass JCPOA constraints. Israel views Iran's pursuit of nuclear weapons as an existential threat. Israel has continuously argued for a zero-tolerance approach toward any prospective Iranian nuclear weapons capabilities due to Iran's hostile rhetoric and support for militant groups that oppose Israel. Israel's approach has reportedly involved clandestine operations, intelligence gathering, and diplomatic attempts with the goal of undermining Iran's nuclear infrastructure (Sanger 2019). Israel is the only nuclear-armed state in the Middle East, even though its nuclear program is not officially recognized. It is generally considered to have created a sizable stockpile of nuclear weapons. This "nuclear opacity," or ambiguous posture, is meant to ward off enemies without taking the political heat for an outright admission (Cohen 2010). Several clandestine operations, including the Stuxnet cyberattack that severely hampered Iran's

nuclear program, have been carried out by Israel in recent years to target Iranian nuclear experts and facilities. Such moves demonstrate the seriousness of this geopolitical contest and demonstrate Israel's resolve to keep Iran from obtaining nuclear weapons capability (Jung, 2024). The nuclear impasse between Iran and Israel has significant ramifications for both international non-proliferation efforts and regional security. Iran's nuclear technological developments and possible weaponization represent a serious threat to the stability of the region. As a result, nearby nations are already considering implementing their own security measures, which could spark a regional weapons race (Fahmy 2022). Global non-proliferation efforts have also become more complex due to the strained dynamics. The JCPOA's partial failure and lingering ambiguities threaten the global non-proliferation system, despite the agreement being viewed as a significant diplomatic success in stopping nuclear proliferation. Regarding how to best handle the difficulties brought on by Iran's nuclear program while maintaining regional stability and averting a wider conflict, the international community is still at odds (Einhorn and Nephew 2016). Grasping the current Middle East security situation requires a grasp of Iran's nuclear ambitions and Israel's resolute resistance to them. These dynamics have important ramifications for international security and non-proliferation initiatives in addition to having an impact on regional players.

PROXY CONFLICTS AND REGIONAL INFLUENCE

Using a few Middle Eastern proxy groups, Iran and Israel have been involved in an indirect conflict for a long time. Hezbollah in Lebanon and Hamas in the Palestinian territories are two groups that actively oppose Israel and carry out terrorist attacks against it. Iran has been a major supporter of these groups. Created in the early 1980s with Iranian support, Hezbollah has developed into one of Lebanon's most potent political and military forces, regularly engaging in combat with Israeli forces (Norton 2014a). Iran provides financial and military backing to Hamas, which has engaged Israel in several battles, including significant wars in Gaza and periodic rocket assaults (Levitt 2006). By posing a constant threat to Israel's security and acting as vital tools for Iran to project power and wield influence throughout the region, these organizations undermine Israel's security. Using these proxies, Iran may wage asymmetric warfare without resorting to direct state-to-state battle, thus depleting Israeli resources and attention (Byman 2005). Iran and Israel are at the core of many

strategic calculations in the Middle East, which is defined by a complex web of alliances and conflicts. Iran's partnerships with Hezbollah, Syria, and other Iraqi militias create an alleged "axis of resistance" that challenges Israeli dominance and Western influence in the area (Phillips 2020). On the other hand, Israel has improved relations with Sunni Arab nations like Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, in part due to everyday worries about Iran's regional aspirations and nuclear program (Winter 2020; Brom and Winter 2020). The Abraham Accords, which are agreements between Israel and numerous Arab states, such as Bahrain and the United Arab Emirates, to normalize relations, mark a dramatic change in the dynamics of the area. These agreements have made it easier to cooperate economically and in terms of security, which might further isolate Iran and change the Middle East's strategic environment (Tohme 2022).

CASE STUDIES OF KEY CONFLICTS AND CYBER WARFARE

The Iran-Israel proxy war has found a significant stage in the Syrian Civil War. To protect its strategic interests in Syria and keep up supply routes to Hezbollah, Iran has supported President Bashar al-Assad by sending military advisers and militias (Fulton 2013). To stop Iran from entrenching its military infrastructure close to its borders, Israel, on the other hand, has carried out multiple airstrikes against Iranian targets in Syria (Ahmadian and Mohseni, 2019). Conflicts with Israel have frequently resulted from Hezbollah's substantial military and political presence in Lebanon. Major combat in the Lebanon War of 2006 left massive devastation in its wake and momentarily altered the balance of power in the region (Norton, 2014b). A fragile balance of deterrence has resulted from Hezbollah's persistent missile and rocket building, which continues to threaten Israel. Because of Iran's support for the Houthis, the Yemeni conflict, which is essentially a civil war between the rebel Houthis and the government of Yemen, has wider regional ramifications. Saudi Arabia and its supporters see the Houthis as an Iranian proxy, and this support, which has included arms, training, and political backing, has intensified the conflict (Sharp and Brudnick, 2015). Iran's possible use of Yemen as a base to attack Israeli naval lines in the Red Sea has alarmed Israel. Iran and Israel are increasingly waging cyberwarfare in addition to their conventional military conflicts. Their strategic toolkits now include cyberattacks, which aim to interfere with one another's operations and target vital infrastructure. Notable events include the Stuxnet virus, which was aimed at Iranian

nuclear facilities and is commonly linked to cooperation between the United States and Israel (Sanger, 2019). Tensions have increased as both nations have lately seen cyberattacks on vital infrastructure (Watney, 2022). Iran and Israel find cyberwarfare to be an attractive instrument in their continuing conflict because it provides a low-risk, high-impact method of applying pressure and causing harm. The Middle East's strategic landscape is shaped by military breakthroughs such as drone capabilities, missile technology, and other developments beyond cyber warfare in the technical struggle (Hassib & Ayad, 2023). Both Israel and Iran have made significant strides in their cyber capabilities in recent years. Recognized for its expertise in cybersecurity, Israel has persisted in creating cutting-edge offensive and defensive cybertools. According to reports, Israel's investment in artificial intelligence (AI) powered cyber technology is improving its ability to identify and neutralize threats (Tunysová, 2022) instantly [Click or tap here to enter text..](#) Additionally, Israel's cyber divisions have increased the scope of their operations, focusing on Iranian networks and infrastructure to get intelligence and stop actions that are thought to pose a danger to national security (Chachko, 2020). In contrast, Iran has made enormous investments in its cyber capabilities to offset perceived threats from Israel and other foes in the area. According to Stachoñ (2024), Iran's increasing cyber capabilities and readiness for aggressive actions are demonstrated by recent events, including the hack on Israeli water infrastructure in 2023. Israeli cyberspace defenses are facing a significant threat from Iranian cyber units, which are thought to be connected to state-sponsored actors. These units have shown a rise in complexity in their tactics, techniques, and processes (Simons 2023). The growing cyber capabilities and events between Iran and Israel significantly impact nationwide security in the area and worldwide. Since Iran's cyber actions could breach sensitive information, impair vital infrastructure, and jeopardize national security, Israel sees them as a serious concern. Israel has therefore taken a proactive stance to counter and prevent Iranian cyberthreats, combining offensive and defensive maneuvers (Freilich, Cohen, and Siboni 2023b). Israel's cyber efforts, in contrast, are seen by Iran as a component of a larger plan to weaken its influence in the area and sovereignty. A new source of conflict between the two enemies is the Iranian government's accusation that Israel has been conducting cyberattacks against its nuclear facilities and other strategic assets (Mimran, 2022). According to (Stachoñ, 2024), Iran has made significant investments

to strengthen its cyberspace defenses, cultivate cooperation in cybersecurity with other countries, and promote the establishment of more robust international rules to regulate cyberwarfare. The need for international cyber rules and laws is becoming more and more important, considering the growing cyber hostilities between Israel and Iran. The absence of generally recognized guidelines guiding state conduct in cyberspace raises the possibility of mistakes and ambiguity, increasing the likelihood of conflict escalation (Moynihan, 2021). Clear rules, like those included in the Tallinn Manual, are difficult to implement and enforce widely (Schmitt, 2017). Nonetheless, current campaigns, like the Paris Call for Trust and Security in Cyberspace, are important first steps in promoting global collaboration and attempts to establish norms (Lété, 2022). Such programs seek to reduce the likelihood of cyber war and improve collective security by advancing the values of responsible state conduct, transparency, and collaboration in cyberspace (Paulus, 2024). Respecting international cyber rules might be an effective way for Iran and Israel to lower tensions, foster mutual confidence, and avoid unintentional cyberspace escalation (Harknett and Smeets, 2022).

ECONOMIC SANCTIONS ON IRAN: EFFECTIVENESS AND CONSEQUENCES

The international community's attempts to confront Iran's nuclear program and its regional operations have mostly relied on economic sanctions. There has been discussion on whether these sanctions effectively change Iran's behavior and accomplish their goals. According to recent research, sanctions have significantly hurt Iran's economy and hampered its ability to influence its strategic thinking, even though they have restricted its ability to finance transactions and export oil (Oryoie, 2024). On the contrary, the imposition of sanctions has worsened economic suffering for common Iranians, intensifying social and political unrest in the nation (Furlan, 2022b). Furthermore, Iran is investigating alternative economic approaches, such as broadening trade alliances and lowering dependence on conventional Western markets, because of the sanctions. Iran has been able to lessen the effects of the sanctions to some degree thanks to the growth of stronger economic relations with China, Russia, and other nations (Ismael, 2022). Furthermore, Iran has tried to use its human resources and technological prowess to foster domestic industry and lessen reliance on imports,

especially in areas that are the focus of sanctions (Fartash & Ghorbani, 2023).

ISRAEL'S ECONOMIC STRATEGIES AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS

Because of its robust startup environment, concentration on research and development, and long history of technical innovation, Israel has long been recognized as a worldwide leader. Israel has grown more interested in the role it can play with technology in advancing national security and economic development in the past few years. Entrepreneurship, innovation, and the growth of advanced technologies in areas such as biotechnology, artificial intelligence, and cybersecurity are given prominence in the nation's economic policies (Ciuriak, 2018). Israel's innovation capacity has strengthened its economy and geopolitical status in the region. The Israeli government has strongly promoted activities to boost technological innovation, e.g., R&D financing, company tax credits, and recruitment programmes to bring talent and capital in from overseas (Galanti, Yuval, and Meydani, 2020). By such moves, Israel became a global hotspot for innovation and technology, positioning it to meet challenges about national security while maintaining a market lead in strategic sectors of economic importance (Freilich et al., 2023).

MULTILATERAL AND BILATERAL ECONOMIC POLICY IMPACTING BOTH COUNTRIES

Multilateral matters and overall regional and global policies guide the economic affairs between Israel and Iran. Despite political impediments to the two countries enjoying formal economic relations, bilateral and multilateral economic policies in other nations affect Israel and Iran alike. Economic cooperation with neighbours and friends, such as the US and the GCC, has been one of the central pillars of Israel's foreign policy strategy. Bilateral negotiations and institutions like the Abraham Accords have enabled trade, investment, technological transfer, and economic integration (Bryc, 2019). With these shifts, Israel has more opportunities to disperse its economic influence throughout the Middle East. The Middle Eastern economic climate has also shifted. China, Russia, and Turkey are important commercial partners and sources of investment for Iran, and their influence on the country shapes its economic policy. Iran may diversify its economic connections and lessen its reliance on Western markets by pursuing bilateral agreements and multilateral projects like the Eurasian Economic Union

and the Belt and Road Initiative (Ayalon, 2010). Concerns over financial reliance, technology dependence, and geopolitical alignment are some of the difficulties that Iran's economic cooperation with these nations faces (Amiraslani & Dragovich, 2023).

DIPLOMATIC EFFORTS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: SUCCESSES AND FAILURES

During the last five years, there have been both achievements and failures in diplomatic attempts to resolve the Israeli Iranian dispute. International mediators, including the UN and regional organizations, have attempted to foster confidence between the two enemies through sporadic discussions and actions. However, entrenched mistrust, conflicting strategic goals, and internal political concerns have frequently thwarted these efforts (Abdul Lateef and Waleed Rasool, 2024). Limited de-escalation tactics, such as prisoner exchanges and humanitarian gestures, have been successful in reducing tensions and fostering some appearance of goodwill between Israel and Iran. However, broader peace efforts, including comprehensive negotiations on contentious issues such as Iran's nuclear program and regional influence, have faltered due to entrenched ideological differences and conflicting geopolitical ambitions (Analytica, 2024b).

THE ROLE OF GLOBAL POWERS: USA, RUSSIA, CHINA, AND THE EU

International powers that impact Israeli Iranian ties diplomatically, economically, and militarily include the USA, Russia, China, and the EU. The United States of America, a significant supporter of Israel, has implemented a strategy of maximum pressure on Iran, which includes the application of economic sanctions and the country's departure from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) (Razzaq, 2020). China and Russia have worked to reconcile conflicting interests in the area while keeping diplomatic links with Iran and Israel. The Russian government's engagement in Syria and its backing of Iran's neighbors have made defusing tensions and fostering communication more difficult. According to (Shinji, 2023), China's strategy for Israeli Iranian ties has also been influenced by its economic interests in the area, particularly its energy cooperation with Iran. The EU has been instrumental in diplomatic attempts to resolve the Israeli-Iranian dispute, working with its member states to uphold multilateralism and diplomacy and supporting the JCPOA. Nonetheless, coherent EU action

has faced obstacles, from conflicts inside the EU over Iran policy to external pressure from the USA (Hoeksma, 2023).

REGIONAL COALITIONS AND ALLIANCES: GCC, ARAB LEAGUE, AND OTHERS

Israeli-Iranian relations are also influenced by regional coalitions and alliances, such as the Arab League and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), through joint security cooperation and collective diplomacy. The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), which is made up of Arab governments around the Persian Gulf, has sided with Israel against Iran's alleged expansionist goals and backing of proxy militias (HicretBattaloglu, 2020). As for Israeli Iranian ties, the Arab League, which represents Arab nations in the Middle East and North Africa, has worked to strike a balance between the interests of its members. Some member nations support attempts to limit Iran's influence, while others are more closely aligned with Israel and promote discussion and engagement with Iran(Abbas, 2024).

FUTURE SCENARIOS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

A few possible paths for Israel-Iran ties might be visualized based on prevailing trends and dynamics in the area. Three major situations come to light, while the situation is still unstable and prone to alter: The likelihood of a military conflict between Israel and Iran may increase because of events that may be precipitated in battlefields that serve as proxies, such as Syria or Lebanon. The potential of mistakes and unintentional escalation is increased by ongoing regional rivalries, proxy conflicts, and the spread of modern military capabilities (Perepelytsia&Rohulia 2023). Alternatively, Israel and Iran may pursue a containment or deterrence policy to mitigate tensions by utilizing a blend of military readiness, diplomatic outreach, and financial inducements. Both parties in this scenario understand the dangers of escalation and the advantages of preserving a delicate balance of power, which prevents full-scale war despite sporadic flare-ups and crises (Maher, 2020). Doubling diplomatic efforts to de-escalate hostilities and resolve core issues through discussion and negotiation is a better option. Confidence-building through constructive interaction between Israel and Iran becomes mainly possible through the initiatives of regional actors, international mediators, and track-two diplomacy efforts(Tertrais, 2006).

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REGIONAL STABILITY AND PEACE

Some policy recommendations help promote regional stability and peace within the context of Israel-Iran relations. It is imperative to emphasize the importance of sustained diplomatic relations between Iran and Israel, with regional and international mediators playing their role. Trust and confidence can be established through facilitating direct communication on issues of common concern, i.e., humanitarian emergencies, counterterrorism, and local security(Maher, 2020). It is also important to advance conflict prevention and crisis management protocols to reduce the risk of unintended escalation, for the resolution of any military crises and averting misunderstandings or misperceptions, hotlines, communications channels, and deconfliction mechanisms may be set up (Gjerazi, 2023). Confidence-building initiatives such as reciprocal exercises, military-to-military dialogue, and arms control agreements can establish transparency and reduce tensions. To enhance communications and peace between Iran and Israel, global actors and organizations like the United Nations, European Union, and Gulf Cooperation Council must be employed. Such institutions provide venues for global cooperation and participation, and separate from official diplomatic attempts, initiatives with a mandate to "track-two diplomacy" as well as impartial mediators can offer informal means of dialogue and actions leading towards confidence building(Bharwani, Palmiano Federer, and Latour, 2022).

DISCUSSION

The multifaceted Israeli history with Iran has evolved entirely since the mid-1900s. Previously characterized by cooperation, particularly between the 1940s and 1979, the intellectual revolution of the Iranian Revolution gave birth to the shift that has led to continuing confrontations(Leslie, 2022). A critical inflection point was reached by the revolution, which resulted in Iran's political establishment of an anti-Israel position and a tense relationship characterized by mistrust and hostility. It is important to comprehend this historical background because it brings to light the long-standing grievances and ideological differences that still affect bilateral relations. Iran's nuclear ambitions, which significantly impact regional security, are fundamental to the dynamics of Israel-Iran ties today (Roomi 2023; Kumar, 2024b). Israel views Iran's nuclear program as an existential threat, despite Iran's claims that it is meant for peaceful purposes. Israel's national security tactics,

such as clandestine activities meant to thwart Iran's nuclear development, have been influenced by this view. The impasse surrounding the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) serves as an example of how flimsy international frameworks intended to address concerns about nuclear proliferation are. Following America's exit from the JCPOA in 2018, Iran intensified its nuclear program, raising tensions and leading Israel to call for tougher international sanctions against Tehran. The multifaceted history of Israel and Iran has evolved quite extensively since the mid-1900s. Defined initially by cooperation, most notably between the 1940s and 1979, the Iranian Revolution's revolution of thought powered a trend that has witnessed ongoing conflicts (Leslie, 2022). At the same time, proxy wars are another essential element of the conflict between Iran and Israel that reveals a broader geopolitical battle for hegemony and influence within the Middle East (Csepregi, 2024). Iran's support for groups such as Hamas and Hezbollah has created heightened tensions, given that such proxies confront Israel directly and erode its security institutions. Iran can extend its influence locally through proxy warfare while insulating itself from military confrontation with Israel. The geopolitical dynamics within the region have therefore become complicated as Israel has deepened relations with Sunni Arab regimes due to the common threat of Iranian expansionism (Combes, 2023). The nuclear aspirations, past grievances, and proxy conflicts among these players significantly impact regional stability. Israel and Iran also share a longstanding animosity that generates the volatile conditions under which miscalculations can quickly spiral out of control and become larger confrontations. Reconsidering neighboring governments' security roles is driven by fear of an arms race as a response to Iran's nuclear victory, which gives rise to further questions. Furthermore, in addition to destabilizing the region, extended war and humanitarian issues stemming from proxy wars hinder movements towards peace and reconciliation. Last, evaluating these results reinforces the requirement to deal with them diplomatically and utilize conflict resolution skills. An expansive plan that identifies complexity in the past, decreases risks of nuclear violence, and halts proxy conflicts is required to respond to Iran-Israel complex interactions (Khamis, 2023). Conjointly, great powers and regional actors must construct more secure geopolitics in which communication and trade-offs substitute confrontation and aggravation. Therefore, the road to long-term peace and stability will require creative diplomacy that advances mutual understanding and

cooperation between all parties concerned while addressing the fundamental problems at the center of the rivalry between Iran and Israel (Khlebnikov and Smagin, 2021).

According to the study, there are serious concerns about regional security because the confrontation between Israel and Iran has greatly intensified. Among the study's principal conclusions are:

- **Nuclear Advancement:** Iran is getting closer to crossing the nuclear threshold because of its advancements in its nuclear program. The JCPOA is an international effort to control this progress, but the current trajectory points to greater capabilities, which worries Israel and its allies even more (Alagöz & Toprak, 2022).
- **Israel's military endeavors:** Israel has increased its military operations against Iranian interests in response to the nuclear threat. These operations include direct strikes on Iranian military installations in Syria and the use of cyberwarfare to thwart Iran's nuclear endeavors (Freilich, Cohen, and Siboni 2023a).
- **Strategic Alignments:** To build security cooperation that may serve as a barrier against Iranian aggression, Israel has reinforced its strategic ties with Gulf governments and opposing Iranian influence (Jones and Jones, 2017).
- **Regional dynamics:** Are constantly changing in Iraq and Syria due to Iran's employment of proxy forces, including Hezbollah and other militias. This makes diplomatic initiatives more difficult to implement and creates a complex battlefield (Akbarzadeh, 2019).
- **Requirements for political engagement:** There is a crucial need for reinvigorated diplomatic efforts involving global powers and regional players since the dynamics of armed confrontation and political disengagement are interwoven and make peaceful resolutions impossible (Bessant and Watts, 2023). These findings show that Israel and Iran's geopolitical situation is unstable, requiring quick communication and proactive measures to reduce the likelihood of future hostilities and escalation.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This research has explored critically the strategic competition between Iran and Israel and its wide-ranging

consequences for regional change and the global order. The persistent rivalry between these two countries based on ideological, security, and geopolitical differences remains central to the definition of Middle Eastern politics. Despite occasional diplomatic initiatives and international peace-making endeavours, the trajectory towards enduring reconciliation remains replete with deep mistrust, incompatible national interests, and different strategic objectives. In the future, the course of relations between Israel and Iran might play out through a range of possible scenarios: hesitant diplomatic outreach, strategic containment, or escalated military conflict. They pose varying threats and opportunities that will determine not just bilateral ties but regional and global stability. The findings of this study underscore the critical importance of seeking all-around policy approaches that put the highest premium on continuous diplomacy, preventive conflict instruments, confidence-building measures, and economic interdependence as the building blocks of de-escalation and cooperation. It is specifically noteworthy that the strategic competition between Israel and Iran transcends the regional scenario, intersecting with global interests such as nuclear non-proliferation, counterterrorism, and energy security. The intervention of great powers with conflicting strategic agendas only complicates the terms of the geopolitical equation and orients the reshaping of the regional balance. Their policies, be it through coalition, sanction, or diplomacy, heavily shape the contours of the contest as much as its probable outcome. In short, while the Israeli-Iranian conflict is a perpetual bane on regional stability, it is also the key to revolutionary change. Its promise of betterment hinges on permanent global mediation, extensive regional negotiation, and the political will of both countries to move beyond zero-sum thinking. Placing such rivalry within the strategic, vision-based framework is essential for regional stability in the Middle East and the global international order within the era of speed-up blocs and multipolar rivalries.

Basing its argument on the in-depth analysis of Israel-Iran strategic competition, this research presents a pragmatic array of recommendations to convert adversarial conditions into avenues of enduring peace, regional transformation, and the overall global context.

Above all, prolonged diplomatic interaction between Israel and Iran must remain a continuing priority. While sporadic attempts from time to time have been undertaken, there must be continuous and collective exchange driven by neutral international intermediaries to stop the deadly spiral of animosity and distrust. Even

at times of crisis, diplomatic channels must never be shut down, because they are the most vital veins for de-escalation and prevention of conflict.

Secondly, confidence-building measures are vital to building a minimum level of trust and reducing the possibility of miscalculation. These involve formal and informal non-aggression agreements, exercise transparency, and backchannels. Confidence-building stabilizes near-term relations and provides a space for deeper diplomatic breakthroughs.

Third, regional cooperative frameworks must be encouraged, and both nations encouraged to engage in multilateral conversations regarding common concerns like water security, climate change, public health, and counterterrorism. Even modest cooperation along these lines can humanise the ‘enemy’ and create an atmosphere of interdependence.

Fourth, economic interdependence can be a stabilising factor. Selective economic contacts, perhaps through third countries or international institutions, can show the common gains of peaceful coexistence and minimise incentives for war. Though full-scale economic cooperation is perhaps too early to expect gradual economic cooperation in non-sensitive areas can be an instrument of confidence-building.

Fifth, the behaviour of external stakeholders, including international institutions and world powers, should be facilitative rather than prescriptive. External stakeholders’ behaviour must be balanced, transparent, and directed toward maximising local agency and effective aid to peace processes. Unilateral action can only reaffirm enmity and should be avoided in favour of collective action.

Finally, there must be a continued policy and scholarly effort at transforming Israel-Iran relations. A multidisciplinary study combining geopolitical analysis, history, and conflict resolution theory can better understand what drives the dynamics and provide more effective policies in the future.

Thus, in sum, the Israel-Iran conflict, as profound as it seems, is not eternal. Strategic thinking, shrewd diplomacy, and collective efforts provide constructive means to convert a long stalemate into a moment of regional rebirth and international security. These recommendations guide the respective countries and the international community dedicated to peace in the Middle East and the world.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS:

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

FUNDING:

The authors received no financial support for this research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCE:

1. Abbas, Syed Qandil. 2024. "Normalization of Relations Between Israel and Arab States: An Iranian Perspective." In *Arab-Israel Normalisation of Ties: Global Perspectives*, 181–97. Springer.
2. Abdul Lateef, Nouf, and Sheikh Waleed Rasool. 2024. "The Role of International Organizations in Mediating Global Conflicts." *Sheikh, The Role of International Organizations in Mediating Global Conflicts* (June 01, 2024).
3. Aharon, Eldad Ben. 2024. "Political Audience and Non-Linear Securitisation: Revisiting Israel–Iran Relations and the Making of the 1979 Islamic Revolution." *European Journal of International Security* 9 (1): 97–121.
4. Ahmadian, Hassan, and Payam Mohseni. 2019. "Iran's Syria Strategy: The Evolution of Deterrence." *International Affairs* 95 (2): 341–64.
5. Akbarzadeh, Shahram. 2019. "Proxy Relations: Iran and Hezbollah." In *Routledge Handbook of International Relations in the Middle East*, 321–29. Routledge.
6. Alagöz, Bilgehan, and Emine Gözde Toprak. 2022. "Iran: Being a Nuclear Threshold State within a National Resistance Context." *Hittit Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 15 (2): 529–48.
7. Amiraslani, Farshad, and Deirdre Dragovich. 2023. "Iran's Regional Transnational Water Partnerships: Unclear Rules, Unstable Partnerships, and an Unsettled Future." *Sustainability* 15 (15): 11889.
8. Analytica, Oxford. 2022. "Unequal Israeli-Iranian Cyberwar Will Escalate." Emerald Expert Briefings, no. oxan-db.
9. Analytica, O. (2024). Possible Israel-Iran escalation would be temporary. Emerald Expert Briefings, oxan-es.
10. Ayalon, Daniel. 2010. "Challenges to Israeli Foreign Policy." *Israel Journal of Foreign Affairs* 4 (1): 7–14.
11. Bahgat, Gawdat. 2011. *Energy Security: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
12. Ben-Dor, Gabriel, and David B Dewitt. 2019. "Confidence Building Measures in the Middle East." In *Confidence Building Measures in the Middle East*, 3–29. Routledge.
13. Bessant, Judith, and Rob Watts. 2023. "100: Political Engagement." In , 395–98. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803921235.00106>.
14. Bharwani, Aleem, Julia Palmiano Federer, and Jack Latour. 2022. "Healing Dialogue: Can the Techniques and Practices of Track Two Diplomacy Play a Role in Resolving Public Health Conflicts?" *International Journal* 77 (2): 313–34.
15. Brom, Shlomo, and Ofir Winter. 2020. "The Creation of a Regional Coalition against Israel: Obstacles and Warning Signs." *Existential Threat Scenarios to the State of Israel*, 27–52.
16. Bryc, Agnieszka. 2019. "A 'New' Middle East? Implications for Israel's Security."
17. Bukhari, Syed Rizwan Haider, Amir Ullah Khan, and Inam Ul Haq. 2024. "Cracking the Enigma: Iran-Israel Relations Unveiled A Provocative Exploration into Global Geopolitics." *Spry Contemporary Educational Practices* 3 (1): 444–60. <https://doi.org/10.62681/spry.publishers.scep/3/1/24>.
18. Byman, Daniel. 2005. *Deadly Connections: States That Sponsor Terrorism*. Cambridge University Press.

19. Chachko, Elena. 2020. "Persistent Aggrandizement? Israel's Cyber Defense Architecture." Working Group on National Security, Technology, and Law. Hoover Institution, Stanford University.
20. Ciuriak, Dan. 2018. "On Economic Strategy in the Data-Driven Economy." Available at SSRN 3243962.
21. Cohen, Avner. 2010. The Worst-Kept Secret: Israel's Bargain with the Bomb. *Columbia University Press*.
22. Combes, DeRaismes. 2023. "181Iran, Proxy Warfare and the Tradition of State Sovereignty." Edited by Ondrej Ditrych, Jan Daniel, Jakub Záhora, Ondrej Ditrych, Jakub Záhora, and Jan Daniel. Revolutionaries and Global Politics: War Machines from the Bolsheviks to ISIS. *Edinburgh University Press*. <https://doi.org/10.3366/edinburgh/9781399505550.003.0011>.
23. Csepregi, Zsolt. 2024. "The Israeli Way of War: How Israel Would Wage a 'War for Existence' against a Peer Competitor." *ACADEMIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH IN MILITARY AND PUBLIC MANAGEMENT SCIENCE: AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SECURITY, STRATEGY, DEFENCE STUDIES, MILITARY TECHNOLOGY AND PUBLIC MANAGEMENT* 23 (1): 35–56.
24. David, Steven R. 2019. "Coping with an Existential Threat: Israel and Iran under Netanyahu." In *Israel Under Netanyahu*, 197–215. Routledge.
25. Dobers, Geesche M, Rico Ihle, Yael Kachel, and Ulf Liebe. 2018. "Economic Integration in the Middle East: Israeli Palestinian Fresh Food Trade." *Israel Affairs* 24 (3): 421–41.
26. Einhorn, Robert, and Richard Nephew. 2016. The Iran Nuclear Deal: Prelude to Proliferation in the Middle East? *Brookings*.
27. Faheema, Nazhath. 2023. "Shifting Politics Between Muslim States & Israel: From the Khartoum Declaration to the Abraham Accords." *Muslim Politics Review* 2 (2): 163–207.
28. Fahmy, Nabil. 2022. "Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament in the Middle East." *Journal for Peace and Nuclear Disarmament* 5 (1): 101–13.
29. Fartash, Kiarash, and Amir Ghorbani. 2023. "Holding Solar Energy Hostage? Evidences from the Political Economy of the Solar Photovoltaic Innovation System in Iran." *Energy Research & Social Science* 106:103304.
30. Freilich, Charles D. 2023. "Israel's National Security Strategy." In *The Palgrave International Handbook of Israel*, 1–19. Springer.
31. Freilich, Charles D, Matthew S Cohen, and Gabi Siboni. 2023a. "245The Military Cyber Strategy." Edited by Charles D Freilich, Matthew S Cohen, and Gabi Siboni. *Israel and the Cyber Threat: How the Startup Nation Became a Global Cyber Power*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197677711.003.0011>.
32. Freilich, C. D., Cohen, M. S., & Siboni, G. (2023). Israel and the cyber threat: How the startup nation became a global cyber power. *Oxford University Press*.
33. Fulton, Will. 2013. "Iranian Strategy in Syria."
34. Furlan, Marta. 2022. "Israeli-Iranian Relations: Past Friendship, Current Hostility." *Israel Affairs* 28 (2): 170–83.
35. Galanti, Sigal Ben-Rafael, Fany Yuval, and Assaf Meydani. 2020. "Innovation in Israel: Between Politics, Society, and Culture." *Israel Studies Review* 35 (3): 1–10.
36. Gazit, Nir. 2015. "State-Sponsored Vigilantism: Jewish Settlers' Violence in the Occupied Palestinian Territories." *Sociology* 49 (3): 438–54.
37. Gjerazi, Blerina. 2023. "Media and Communication Strategies for Conflict Prevention and Resolution." *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research and Development* 10 (2): 52.
38. González Mendelejís, Nathan. 2020. "Toward a New Typology of Sunni Jihad." *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 43 (12): 1064–85.
39. Haddad, Asmaa Jawad Kadhim, and Farqad Abbas Qasim. 2023. "IRAN'S ANTI-COMMUNIST RELIGIOUS PRINCIPLES AND THEIR IMPACT ON SOVIET IRANIAN RELATIONS 1979-1989." *Russian Law Journal* 11 (11S): 129–49.
40. Harknett, Richard J., and Max Smeets. 2022. "Cyber Campaigns and Strategic Outcomes." *Journal of Strategic Studies* 45 (4): 534–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2020.1732354>.
41. Hassib, Bassant, and Fatimah Ayad. 2023. "The Challenges and Implications of Military Cyber and AI Capabilities in the Middle East: The

Geopolitical, Ethical, and Technological Dimensions.” In The Arms Race in the Middle East: Contemporary Security Dynamics, 49–65. Springer.

42. *Hicret Battaloglu, Nesibe.* 2020. “*Alliances and Regionalism in the Middle East After Arab Uprisings: An Assessment of the Durability and Fragility of the Gulf Cooperation Council.*” Gulf Cooperation Council Culture and Identities in the New Millennium: Resilience, Transformation, (Re) Creation and Diffusion, 13–32.

43. *Hoeksma, Jaap.* 2023. “*The EU as an Innovative Force for Global Governance.*” European View 22 (2): 263–68.

44. *Hussain*, Syed Jaleel.* 2020. “*Iran’s Nuclear Program: A Study in Proliferation and Rollback.*” Strategic Analysis 44 (4): 394–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09700161.2020.1809210>.

45. *Ismael, Rezaeinejad.* 2022. “*Analytical Study of the International Economic Policies of Iran and Russia: A Move to Bilateral Cooperation under Sanctions.*” Review of Business and Economics Studies 10 (4): 36–44.

46. *Jones, Clive, and Clive Jones.* 2017. “*Author and Affiliation Correspondence Details*” 44 (February).

47. *Jung, Yujin J.* 2024. “*Cyber Shadows over Nuclear Peace: Understanding and Mitigating Digital Threats to Global Security.*” Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs 11 (2): 233–53.

48. *Kaya, Sezgin, and Zeynep artepe.* 2015. “*Contentious Politics in Iran: Factions, Foreign Policy and the Nuclear Deal.*” Alternatives: Turkish Journal of International Relations 14 (3): 1–12.

49. *Khamis, Kholoud Muhammad.* 2023. “*The Competition of Regional Powers and Its Impact on the Stability of the Middle East Region: (Iran-Israel as a Model).*” The International and Political Journal, no. 56, 1–26.

50. *Khan, Muhammad Afq Naveed, Sohail Ahmad, and Aftab Alam.* 2023. “*Beyond Borders: The Complexities of Israel-Palestine Antagonism in the Modern Middle East.*” Global Strategic & Security Studies Review (GSSSR) VIII, No.:42 – 50. [https://doi.org/10.31703/gsssr.2023\(VIII-IV\).04](https://doi.org/10.31703/gsssr.2023(VIII-IV).04).

51. *Khlebnikov, Alexey, and Nikita Smagin.* 2021. “*De-Coding Fabric of Iran-Israeli Hostility in the Regional Context.*” In The Geopolitics of Iran, 387–417. Springer.

52. *Kraus, Josef.* 2010. “*The Comparison of Strategy of Iranian Terrorism Sponsorship: The Example of Hamas and Hezbollah.*” Obrana a Strategie (Defence & Strategy), 10 (2), 47–70.

53. *Kumar, Shreesh.* 2024. “*ISRAEL’S THREAT PERCEPTION: ANALYSIS OF ISRAEL AND IRAN’S RELATIONS AND IRAN’S NUCLEAR AMBITION.*” Available at SSRN 4880142.

54. *Leslie, Jonathan G.* 2022. Fear and Insecurity: Israel and the Iran Threat Narrative. *Oxford University Press.*

55. *Lété, Bruno.* 2022. Paris Call and Activating Global Cyber Norms. *JSTOR.*

56. *Levitt, Matthew.* 2006. *Hamas: Politics, Charity, and Terrorism in the Service of Jihad.* *Yale University Press.*

57. *Lister, Charles R.* 2016. *The Syrian Jihad: Al-Qaeda, the Islamic State and the Evolution of an Insurgency.* *Oxford University Press.*

58. *Mabon, Simon.* 2023. *The Struggle for Supremacy in the Middle East.* *Cambridge University Press.*

59. *Mahasneh, Shera, and Amin Al-Mashaqbeh.* 2023. “*The Impact of Iran’s Nuclear Program on Iranian-American Relations (2010-2021).*” *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences* 50 (3): 190–203.

60. *Maher, Nora.* 2020. “*Balancing Deterrence: Iran-Israel Relations in a Turbulent Middle East.*” *Review of Economics and Political Science* 8 (3): 226–45.

61. *Melman, Yossi, and Dan Raviv.* 1990. “*The Journalist’s Connections: How Israel Got Russia’s Biggest Pre glasnost Secret.*” *International Journal of Intelligence and Counter Intelligence* 4 (2): 219–25.

62. *Mimran, Tal.* 2022. “*Between Israel and Iran: Middle-East Attitudes to the Role of International Law in the Cyber-Sphere.*” *Baltic Yearbook of International Law Online* 20 (1): 209–35.

63. *Moynihan, Harriet.* 2021. “*The Vital Role of International Law in the Framework for Responsible State Behaviour in Cyberspace.*” *Journal of Cyber Policy* 6 (3): 394–410. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23738871.2020.1832550>.

64. *Norton, Augustus Richard.* 2014. *Hezbollah: A Short History: A Short History.* *Princeton University Press.*

65. Oryoie, Ali Reza. 2024. "The Impact of International Sanctions on Income Mobility: Evidence from Iran." *Review of Development Economics*.

66. Parsi, Trita. 2007. Treacherous Alliance: The Secret Dealings of Israel, Iran, and the United States. *Yale University Press*.

67. Pashayi, Mohammad Reza, and Timuçin Kodaman. 2023. "The Transition from Nationalism to Islamism in Iran's Foreign Policy." *Aurum Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 8 (2): 229–52.

68. Paulus, Alexandra. 2024. "The Global Cyber Norms Debate." In *Building Bridges in Cyber Diplomacy: How Brazil Shaped Global Cyber Norms*, 63–97. Springer.

69. Perepelytsia, Hryhorii, and Karyna Rohulia. 2023. "ISRAELI-SAUDI PARTNERSHIP: REALITIES AND PROSPECTS." *Actual Problems of International Relations* 1 (157): 26–34.

70. Phillips, Christopher. 2020. The Battle for Syria: International Rivalry in the New Middle East. *Yale University Press*.

71. Pollack, Kenneth. 2005. The Persian Puzzle: The Conflict between Iran and America. *Random House Trade Paperbacks*.

72. Qadri, Suwaibah. 2024. "THE IMPACT OF THE US-CHINA-RUSSIA RIVALRY ON THE ARCHITECTURE OF INTERNATIONAL SECURITY AT THE GLOBAL AND REGIONAL LEVELS." *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs* 7 (1).

73. Razzaq, Essam Abdul Ghafour Abdul. 2020. "The US Position and Its Impact on the Sino-Israeli Relations A Political Historical Study 1949-2002." *Journal of University of Anbar for Humanities* 1 (4).

74. Roomi, Farshad. 2023. "The Iran Israel Conflict: An Ultra Ideological Explanation." *Middle East Policy* 30 (2): 94–109.

75. Sanger, David E. 2019. The Perfect Weapon: War, Sabotage, and Fear in the Cyber Age. *Crown*.

76. Schmitt, Michael N. 2017. *Tallinn Manual 2.0 on the International Law Applicable to Cyber Operations*. Cambridge University Press.

77. Sharp, Jeremy M, and Ida A Brudnick. 2015. "Yemen: Civil War and Regional Intervention." *Congressional Research Service Washington DC*.

78. Shinji, Yamaguchi. 2023. "China's Role in Conflict Mediation in the Middle East: Normalization of Relations between Iran and Saudi Arabia and the 2023 Israel-Hamas War." *Asia-Pacific Review* 30 (3): 99–114.

79. Simons, Ryan. 2023. "Investigating Initial Access Strategies and Malware Deployment Tactics Used by Iranian Advanced Persistent Threat Groups." In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*, 3068–78. IEEE.

80. Stachoň, Monika. 2024. "IRANIAN CYBER CAPABILITIES AS A TOOL OF DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN POLICY." *Scientific Reports of Fire University* 2 (89): 267–90.

81. Tertrais, Bruno. 2006. "The Iranian Nuclear Crisis." *Crescent of Crisis: U.S.-European Strategy for the Greater Middle East* 43 (2): 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203717691>.

82. Tohme, Maria. 2022. "The Abraham Accord Shaping a New Middle East." *Notre Dame University-Louaize*.

83. Tunysová, Andrea. 2022. "Predicting and Preventing Terrorism with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Implications for Security in Israel."

84. Watney, Murdoch. 2022. "Cybersecurity Threats to and Cyberattacks on Critical Infrastructure: A Legal Perspective." In *European Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security*, 21:319–27.

85. Wertman, Ori. 2021. "The Securitization of the Bi-National State: The Oslo Accords 1993-1995." *Strategic Assessment* 24 (4).

86. Winter, Ofir. 2020. Existential Threat Scenarios to the State of Israel. *Institute for National Security Studies*.

