Original Article **Open Access**

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19412121

Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management: Implications for Multidiversity and Inclusive Workforce Practice

Bandita Bhola

Research Scholar, School of Management Studies (SOMS),
Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM) is transforming organizational decision-making and workforce practices. At the same time, organizations face increasing expectations to promote multidiversity and inclusion in the workplace. While AI-enabled HR systems promise efficiency, objectivity, and data-driven insights, they also raise concerns regarding algorithmic bias, transparency, and fairness. This article critically examines the implications of AI in HRM for multidiversity and inclusive workforce practice. Drawing on socio-technical systems theory, institutional theory, and organizational justice theory, the article develops a conceptual framework explaining how AI-enabled HR processes can either enhance or undermine inclusion outcomes. The analysis explores AI applications across key HR functions—recruitment and selection, performance management, learning and development, and employee engagement—and highlights associated risks and opportunities for diverse employee groups. The article further discusses managerial and governance mechanisms necessary to ensure responsible and inclusive AI adoption in HRM. By integrating diversity and inclusion considerations into the discourse on AI-enabled HRM, this study contributes to theory and practice by offering a structured foundation for future research and guiding organizations toward ethically grounded, inclusive workforce strategies.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, human resource management, multidiversity, inclusion, algorithmic bias, ethical HRM

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a defining feature of contemporary organizational transformation, reshaping how decisions are made, work is organized, and employees are managed. Within Human Resource Management (HRM), AI technologies are increasingly deployed to automate administrative tasks, support strategic decision-making, and enhance workforce analytics. Applications such as algorithmic recruitment, predictive performance analytics, chatbots, and personalized learning platforms are now commonplace in many organizations (Marler & Boudreau, 2017).

ACCESS THIS ARTICLE ONLINE

Quick Response Code:

Available online at :
thirdvoice.voiceforvoiceless.in

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19412121
Article No - TVRV00104

Address for correspondence :
Bandita Bhola, Research Scholar, School of Management Studies (SOMS), Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, India
Email:bholabandita@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-4196-0980

Received	Reviewed	Accepted	Published
18-July-2025	27-Sept.-2025	22-Oct.-2025	01-Dec.-2025

Volume	Issue	December	ISSN
No. 6	No. 2	2025	2583-1852(P), 2584-0878(O)

How to Cite this Article: Bhola, Bandita; Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management:Implications for Multidiversity and Inclusive Workforce Practice. THE THIRD VOICE REALITY AND VISION. 2025. Vol No-7. Issue No-2. December. Pp:121-124, DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19412121.

Parallel to these technological developments, organizations are under mounting pressure from governments, stakeholders, and society to foster multidiversity and inclusion within the workforce. Multidiversity refers to the recognition of multiple, intersecting dimensions of difference, including but not limited to gender, race, ethnicity, age, disability, sexual orientation, neurodiversity, and socio-economic background (Roberson, 2019). Inclusion extends beyond representation to encompass fairness, belonging, voice, and equitable access to opportunities (Shore et al., 2018).

The convergence of AI adoption and diversity management presents a critical paradox. On one hand, AI systems are often promoted as tools for reducing human bias and increasing objectivity in HR decision-making. On the other hand, growing evidence suggests that AI systems may reproduce or amplify existing inequalities when trained on biased data or deployed without adequate oversight (O'Neil, 2016). As HR decisions directly affect individuals' careers, identities, and economic security, the implications of AI for inclusive workforce practice warrant rigorous scholarly attention.

Despite a growing body of research on AI in HRM, limited attention has been paid to its implications for multidiversity and inclusion from a theoretical and integrative perspective. This article addresses this gap by developing a conceptual framework that links AI-enabled HRM practices to inclusive workforce outcomes. Specifically, the article seeks to:

conceptualize AI applications in HRM through a diversity and inclusion lens;

propose a theory-driven framework explaining how AI influences inclusive outcomes;

analyze key HR applications of AI and their implications for multidiversity; and

identify managerial and governance mechanisms necessary for responsible AI-enabled HRM.

Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management

AI in HRM encompasses a range of technologies capable of simulating human cognitive functions such as learning, reasoning, and pattern recognition (Russell & Norvig, 2021). In organizational contexts, AI systems are used to automate repetitive tasks, augment managerial decision-making, and generate predictive insights about workforce behavior.

Scholars distinguish between automation-oriented, augmentation-oriented, and decision-oriented AI applications in HRM (Jarrahi, 2018). While automation-oriented AI focuses on efficiency, decision-oriented systems have greater implications for fairness and inclusion because they directly influence hiring, promotion, and termination outcomes. Consequently, AI adoption in HRM raises unique ethical and social concerns compared to other functional domains.

Multidiversity and Inclusive Workforce Practice

Traditional diversity research focused primarily on demographic representation. More recent scholarship emphasizes multidiversity and intersectionality, recognizing that individuals experience organizational systems differently based on overlapping identities (Roberson, 2019). Inclusive workforce practice aims to ensure that organizational policies, cultures, and practices enable full participation for all employees.

From an HRM perspective, inclusion is closely linked to perceptions of organizational justice, fairness, and respect. Empirical studies show that inclusive HR practices are associated with higher employee engagement, innovation, and organizational performance (Shore et al., 2018). However, inclusion is difficult to institutionalize and may be undermined by opaque or unaccountable technological systems.

Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Model

Theoretical Foundations

This article draws on three theoretical perspectives to examine AI-enabled inclusive HRM.

First, *socio-technical systems theory* emphasizes that technological outcomes are shaped by interactions between technical systems and social structures. AI systems embedded in HRM reflect organizational values, power relations, and design choices rather than neutral technological logic (Trist & Bamforth, 1951).

Second, *institutional theory* suggests that organizations adopt AI-driven HR practices in response to normative and competitive pressures. While such pressures encourage efficiency and innovation, they may

also lead to symbolic adoption of AI without adequate consideration of diversity and inclusion (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

Third, *organizational justice theory* highlights the importance of fairness perceptions in shaping employee reactions to HR decisions. AI-mediated decisions influence procedural and distributive justice perceptions, particularly when decision logic is opaque or unchallengeable (Colquitt et al., 2013).

Conceptual Framework: A Theory of Change

Building on these perspectives, the article proposes a theory of change linking AI-enabled HRM to inclusive workforce outcomes. The framework conceptualizes AI as an input that interacts with organizational policies, ethical principles, and governance structures. These inputs shape AI-supported HR processes, which are mediated by transparency, human oversight, and employee participation. Inclusive outcomes—such as equitable representation, reduced bias, and enhanced belonging—are contingent upon these mediating factors rather than AI adoption alone.

AI Applications in HRM and Implications for Multidiversity

Recruitment and Selection

AI-driven recruitment tools are widely used for resume screening, candidate ranking, and interview analysis. These systems may enhance inclusion by expanding talent pools and focusing on skills rather than credentials. However, studies demonstrate that algorithmic recruitment can reproduce historical discrimination if training data reflect biased hiring practices (Bogen & Rieke, 2018).

Intersectional biases are particularly problematic when AI systems disadvantage candidates at the intersection of multiple marginalized identities. Inclusive recruitment therefore requires continuous auditing, diverse training datasets, and human review of algorithmic decisions.

Performance Management

AI-enabled performance management systems rely on continuous data collection and predictive analytics. While these systems may reduce evaluator bias, they risk privileging measurable outputs over relational or contextual contributions. Employees engaged in caregiving, accommodation, or diversity-related labor may be disadvantaged if such work is not captured by performance metrics.

Learning and Development

AI-powered learning platforms support personalized and adaptive training pathways. These technologies can enhance inclusion by addressing diverse learning needs and improving access to development opportunities. However, algorithmic assumptions about career trajectories may reinforce dominant norms if not aligned with inclusive talent strategies.

Employee Engagement and Well-being

AI tools used for sentiment analysis and well-being monitoring can identify disengagement or burnout trends among diverse employee groups. Nevertheless, concerns regarding surveillance, consent, and data privacy disproportionately affect marginalized employees, necessitating clear ethical boundaries.

Management and Governance Implications

Ethical and Legal Governance

Responsible AI use in HRM requires alignment with ethical principles such as fairness, transparency, explainability, and accountability. Legal frameworks related to equal employment opportunity and data protection impose obligations on organizations to prevent discrimination and protect employee rights.

Role of HR Leaders

HR leaders must develop AI literacy and act as ethical stewards of technology. This includes collaborating with data scientists, embedding inclusion criteria into system design, and ensuring that AI complements rather than replaces human judgment.

Participatory Design and Continuous Evaluation

Inclusive AI adoption benefits from participatory design approaches that involve diverse stakeholders. Ongoing audits and feedback mechanisms are essential to identify unintended consequences and adapt systems over time.

Future Research Directions

This article highlights the dual role of AI as both an enabler and a risk factor for inclusive workforce practice. While AI offers tools for identifying and

addressing inequities, its effectiveness depends on organizational intent, governance, and ethical commitment.

Future research should empirically examine how AI-enabled HRM affects diverse employee groups across contexts, explore cross-cultural differences in algorithmic fairness perceptions, and develop standardized metrics for inclusive AI performance.

Conclusion

AI is reshaping HRM in ways that profoundly affect workforce diversity and inclusion. This article argues that AI does not inherently promote or hinder inclusion; rather, outcomes depend on how technologies are designed, governed, and integrated into HR practices. By adopting a theory-driven and ethically grounded approach, organizations can leverage AI to support multidiversity while safeguarding fairness, dignity, and trust. Inclusive AI-enabled HRM is therefore not only a strategic imperative but also a social and moral responsibility.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for this"research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Competing Interest

The author declare no competing interests.

References

1. Bogen, M., & Rieke, A. (2018). Help wanted: An examination of hiring algorithms, equity, and bias. Upturn.
2. Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 77–91.
3. Colquitt, J. A., Zipay, K. P., Lynch, J. W., & Outlaw, R. (2013). Bringing "justice" back to the study of organizational justice. Academy of Management Annals, 7(1), 199–236.
4. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. American Sociological Review, 48(2), 147–160.
5. Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human–AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. Business Horizons, 61(4), 577–586.
6. Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR analytics. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 28(1), 3–26.
7. O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown.
8. Roberson, Q. M. (2019). Diversity in the workplace: A review, synthesis, and future research agenda. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 6, 69–88.
9. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach (4th ed.). Pearson.
10. Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N., & Sanchez, D. (2018). Inclusive workplaces: A review and model. Human Resource Management Review, 28(2), 176–189.
11. Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting. Human Relations, 4(1), 3–38.